

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/668,086	KIM ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
UMAR CHEEMA	2444	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) UMAR CHEEMA. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Eliot Malamud (Reg. No. 51989). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 June 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative Mr. Eliot Malamud (Reg. No. 51989) with the approval of SPE William Vaughn, about the potential amendment in order to allow the case. Applicant's represatitave agreed upon proposal amendments and authorized examiner's amendments via email to examiner.